arXiv:math/0611199v1 [math.AG] 7 Nov 2006

On the integrability of spatial polygons in 3 dimensional Minkowsi Spaces

Vehbi Emrah Paksoy

Abstract

In this paper we show that the space of spatial polygons in 3 dimensional Minkowski space $\mathbb{M}^3$ is a Kähler manifold. We will give explicit description to the tangent space and constructing an almost complex structure we will show the integrability using Newlander-Nierenberg theorem. This approach certainly has lots of computational advantages.

Space of spatial polygones in Euclidean spaces are well understood after the works of [1, 3, 4]. Similar constructions can be extended to the spatial polygones in semi-Riemannian spaces . We can define the Lorentz metric on $\mathbb{R}^n$,$n > 1$ as $(u, v) = u_n v_n - \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} u_i v_i$ where $u = (u_1, \dots, u_n), v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. The vector space $(\mathbb{R}^n, (,)\ )$ is called Minkowski space and denoted by $\mathbb{M}^n$. For those who interested in the algebraic and geometric properties of Minkowski spaces, [2] and [6] would be good sources. Next Consider $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R}) = \{A \in M^{2\times 2} | trA = 0\}$. The form defined as $(A, B) = -\frac{1}{2} trAB$ where $A, B \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ is a metric on $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$. We know that the matrices

$$\mathbf{e_1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{e_2} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{e_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

form a basis for $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Note that

$$(e_1, e_2) = (e_1, e_3) = (e_2, e_3) = 0$$

moreover $(e_1, e_1) = (e_2, e_2) = -1$ and $(e_3, e_3) = 1$. We conclude that $(\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R}), (,))$ is of Sylvester type (-2,1). Let us define the map

$$\begin{array}{rcl} f : \mathbb{M}^3 & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R}) \\ u = (x, y, z) & \mapsto & A \end{array}$$

Where A is the matrix given by;

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} x & y + z \\ y - z & -x \end{pmatrix}.$$

f is an isomorphism and $(fu, fv) = (u, v)$ for $u, v \in \mathbb{M}^3$. So $\mathbb{M}^3 \simeq \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ with $(A, B) = -\frac{1}{2}tr(AB)$ i.e, an isometry.

From now on, we will only consider $\mathbb{M}^3$ or equivalently $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ with metric $(A, B) = -\frac{1}{2}tr(AB)$. On $\mathbb{M}^3$ we can define the product $[u, v] = J(u \times v)$ where

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

and '×' denotes the usual vector product in $\mathbb{R}^3$. It will be useful to explore some properties of this product. The proof of the following proposition is obtained using definition.

Proposition 0.1 *The product [,] on $\mathbb{M}^3$ posesses the following properties;*

$i)$ $[u, v]$ *is orthagonal both u and v w.r.t Minkowski metric.*
$ii)$ $[u, v] = -[v, u]$
$iii)$ $([u, v], w) = (u, [v, w])$
$iv)$ $[u, [v, w]] = (u, w)v - (u, v)w$
$v)$ $[u, v] = 0 \Leftrightarrow u = \lambda v \ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$

where $u, v, w \in \mathbb{M}^3$.

Moreover $\mathbb{M}^3$ with the product [,] becomes a Lie algebra. Now recall that $\mathbb{M}^3 \simeq \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ with given metric. We will define a product [,] on $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ to be

$$[A, B] = f[f^{-1}(A), f^{-1}(B)]$$

where $f^{-1}(A) = (x, \frac{y+z}{2}, \frac{y-z}{2})$ for

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & -x \end{pmatrix}. \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R}).$$

Note that

$$([A, B], A) = (f[f^{-1}(A), f^{-1}(B)], A) = (f[f^{-1}(A), f^{-1}(B)], f(f^{-1}(A))).$$

Since f is an isometry we have

$$([A, B], A) = ([f^{-1}(A), f^{-1}(B)], f^{-1}(A)) = 0$$

and similarly $([A, B], B) = 0$.

Proposition 0.2 *Product [,] on $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ with given metric has the following properties;*

i) $[A, B]$ *is orthagonal to both A and B,*
ii) $[A, B] = -[B, A]$,
iii) $[A, B] = 0 \Leftrightarrow A = \lambda B$,
iv) $[A, [B, C]] = (A, C)B - (A, B)C$,
v) $(A, [B, C]) = ([A, B], C)$.

where $A, B, C \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ *and* $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof: We prove the item $i)$ just before the proposition. All of the other items listed above can be checked directly using the properties of [,] in $\mathbb{M}^3$. $\square$
As a result of the previous proposition we can say that the bracket on $\mathbb{M}^3$ and the product on $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ have the same properties.Observe that for $A \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ with $(A, A) = 1$ and any $B \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $(A, B) = 0$ we have $[A, [A, B]] = (A, B)C - (A, A)B = -B$. Thus the operator

$$\begin{array}{rcl} I_A :< A >^{\perp} & \longrightarrow & < A >^{\perp} \\ B & \mapsto & [A, B] \end{array}$$

defines an almost complex structure on $< A >^{\perp}$, orthagonal complement of A in $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

1 Geometry of the Moduli

Let $\widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ be the finite set of $p_\alpha \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\sum_\alpha p_\alpha = 0$ and $(p_\alpha, p_\alpha) = m_\alpha^2, m_\alpha > 0$. Hence p_α is time-like for all α. We set $\mathcal{M} = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}$ modulo adjoint action of $SL_2(\mathbb{R}) = \widetilde{\mathcal{M}}/SL_2(\mathbb{R})$. This is the space of polygons in $\mathbb{M}^3$ with sides p_α and side lengths m_α.Then the tangent space $\mathcal{T}(P)$ at point $P = \{p_\alpha\}$ consist of vectors $q = \{q_\alpha\}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{ll} i) & (q_\alpha, p_\alpha) = 0 \\ ii) & \displaystyle\sum_\alpha q_\alpha = 0 \\ iii) & \text{Two systems } \{q_\alpha\} \text{ and } \{w_\alpha\} \text{ represents the same tangent vector if} \\ & \exists x \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R}) \text{ such that } w_\alpha = q_\alpha + [x, p_\alpha]. \end{array}$$

Third condition defining the equivalence of the vectors can be interpreted as the infinitelstimal motion of the polygon as a whole.

Proposition 1.1 *In each class ef equivalence given in iii , there exist a unique representative* $\{q_\alpha\}$ *such that;*

$$\sum_\alpha \frac{[q_\alpha, p_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} = 0 \qquad (*)$$

.

Proof: To show the existance, consider $q = q_\alpha$, by i) we know $q_\alpha \perp p_\alpha$ i.e, q_α is space-like vector for all α. $\Rightarrow (q_\alpha, q_\alpha) < 0$. So there exist a representative $\{q_\alpha\}$ such that

$$-\sum_\alpha \frac{(q_\alpha, q_\alpha)}{m_\alpha} = min.$$

Hence by extrema condition we have $\sum_\alpha \frac{[q_\alpha, p_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} = 0$.
For uniqueness, let $\widetilde{q_\alpha} = q_\alpha + [x, p_\alpha]$ for some $x \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$. Note that

$$\sum_\alpha \frac{[\widetilde{q_\alpha}, p_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} = 0.$$

Then

$$\sum_\alpha \frac{[[x, p_\alpha], p_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} = 0 \Rightarrow \sum_\alpha \frac{([[x, p_\alpha], p_\alpha], x)}{m_\alpha} = 0. \text{ Hence } \sum_\alpha \frac{([x, p_\alpha], [x, p_\alpha])}{m_\alpha} = 0.$$

Since p_α and $[x, p_\alpha]$ are orthagonal, $[x, p_\alpha]$ is space-like. So $([x, p_\alpha], [x, p_\alpha]) < 0 \Rightarrow [x, p_\alpha] = 0$ for all α. Hence $x = \lambda p_\alpha, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ for all α. For non-collinear p_α's , this is the case if $x = 0$. Therefore $\widetilde{q_\alpha} = q_\alpha$. □
In the case of collinear vectors, the gauge representative $\{q_\alpha\}$ is unique but the tangent space has dimension greater that that of $\mathcal{M}$. This means that the point is singular.
The uniqueness allow us to define the operator

$$\begin{array}{rcl} I : \mathcal{T}(P) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{T}(P) \\ \{q_\alpha\} & \mapsto & \{\frac{[q_\alpha, p_\alpha]}{m_\alpha}\} \end{array}$$

where q_α satisfies the calibration condition $\sum_\alpha \frac{[q_\alpha, p_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} = 0$. Note that $I^2 q_\alpha = I(I q_\alpha) = -q_\alpha$. Therefore we obtain a complex structure on tangent space $\mathcal{T}(P)$.

Lemma 1.2 *The 2-form ω on $\mathcal{T}(P)$ given by*

$$\omega(q, q') = \sum_\alpha \frac{([q_\alpha, q'_\alpha], p_\alpha)}{m_\alpha^2}$$

where $q = \{q_\alpha\}, q' = \{q'_\alpha\} \in \mathcal{T}(P)$ is a symplectic form and in addition invariant under gauge transformation $q_\alpha \mapsto q_\alpha + [a, p_\alpha], a \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R}) = \mathbb{M}^3$.

Proof: Let us first prove the invariance. Consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_\alpha \frac{([q_\alpha + [a, p_\alpha], q'_\alpha + [b, p_\alpha]], p_\alpha)}{m_\alpha^2} = \sum_\alpha \frac{(q_\alpha + [a, p_\alpha], [q'_\alpha + [b, p_\alpha], p_\alpha])}{m_\alpha^2} = \\ = & \quad -\sum_\alpha \frac{(q_\alpha + [a, p_\alpha], m_\alpha^2 b - (p_\alpha, b) p_\alpha + [p_\alpha, q'_\alpha])}{m_\alpha^2} = \\ = & \quad \sum_\alpha \frac{([q_\alpha, q'_\alpha], p_\alpha)}{m_\alpha^2} = \omega(q, q'). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, ω is invariant under gauge transformation. Note that

$$\omega(q,q') = \sum_\alpha \frac{([q_\alpha, q'_\alpha], p_\alpha)}{m_\alpha^2} = -\omega(q', q)$$

So, ω is alternating.
Now assume $\omega(q,q') = 0, \forall q' \in \mathcal{T}(P)$. Then set $q' = Iq \in \mathcal{T}(P)$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = \quad & \sum_\alpha \frac{([q_\alpha, Iq_\alpha], p_\alpha)}{m_\alpha^2} = \sum_\alpha \frac{([q_\alpha, [q_\alpha, p_\alpha]], p_\alpha)}{m_\alpha^3} = \\ = \quad & -\sum_\alpha \frac{(q_\alpha, q_\alpha)(p_\alpha, p_\alpha)}{m_\alpha^3} = -\sum_\alpha \frac{(q_\alpha, q_\alpha)}{m_\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Note that q_α's are space-like vectors. So, $(q_\alpha, q_\alpha) < 0$. In this case above equality holds iff $q_\alpha = 0, \forall \alpha$.This means that ω is non-degenerate.
It remains to show that ω is closed. Observe that it is closed on 'mass surfaces' $(p_\alpha, p_\alpha) = m_\alpha^2$ and by the invariance, it is also closed on the factor. $\square$
After defining symplectic form ω on $\mathcal{M}$ it is convenient to construct a Riemannian metric using ω. Let's define;

$$g(q,q') = -\omega(Iq, q')$$

Then g is non-degenerate symmetric form and for the vectors satisfying calibration condition in proposition(3.17), it can be written as

$$g(q,q') = -\sum_\alpha \frac{(q_\alpha, q'_\alpha)}{m_\alpha}$$

and $g(q,q) > 0$ since $(q_\alpha, q_\alpha) < 0$.

2 Integrability

In previous section we defined the almost complex structure I on $\mathcal{M}$ and tangent space at a point $P \in \mathcal{M}$. We may consider the tangent space $\mathcal{T}(P)$ as a subset in $(\mathbb{M}^3)^n$ where $\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$. Consider the 2-form defined by

$$(x,y) = \sum_\alpha \frac{(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)}{m_\alpha}$$

where $x = \{x_\alpha\}, y = \{y_\alpha\} \in (\mathbb{M}^3)^n$ and (x_α, y_α) is the Minkowski metric on $\mathbb{M}^3$. Let $\mathcal{N}_\mathrm{P}$ be the orthagonal complement to $\mathcal{T}(P)$ via the form defined above. So

$$(\mathbb{M}^3)^n = \mathcal{T}(\mathrm{P}) \oplus \mathcal{N}_\mathrm{P}$$

Proposition 2.1 *The operator $L : \mathbb{M}^3 \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}^3$ is given by;*

$$L(\xi) = \sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha, [\xi, p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha}$$

is self-adjoint with respect to Minkowski metric.

Proof: Consider

$$\begin{aligned} (L(\xi), \eta) = \sum_\alpha (\frac{[p_\alpha, [\xi, p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha}, \eta) &= \sum_\alpha (\frac{[p_\alpha, [\eta, p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha}, \xi) \\ &= (\sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha, [\eta, p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha}, \xi) \\ &= (L(\eta), \xi) \qquad \square \end{aligned}$$

The following lemma gives a explicit projection to the normal $\mathcal{N}_P$.

Lemma 2.2 *Let $\pi : (\mathbb{M}^3)^n \longrightarrow \mathcal{N}_P$ given by*

$$(\pi x)_\alpha = \frac{(x_\alpha, p_\alpha)}{m_\alpha^2} p_\alpha + \frac{[p_\alpha, [\xi_x, p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha} + [w_x, p_\alpha]$$

where $\xi_x, w_x \in \mathbb{M}^3$ is defined uniquely such that

$$\begin{aligned} L(\xi_x) &= \sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha, [\xi_x, p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha} = \sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha, [x_\alpha, p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha^2} \\ L(w_x) &= \sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha, [w_x, p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha} = \sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha, x_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

$\alpha \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and π is self-adjoint.

Proof: We must show that $(\pi x, u) = 0, \forall u \in \mathcal{T}(P)$. Let $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n) \in \mathcal{T}(P)$

$$\begin{aligned} (\pi x, u) &= \sum_\alpha \Big((\frac{(x_\alpha, p_\alpha)}{m_\alpha^3} p_\alpha, u_\alpha) + (\frac{[p_\alpha, [\xi_x, p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha^2}, u_\alpha) + (\frac{[w_x, p_\alpha]}{m_\alpha}, u_\alpha) \Big) \\ &= -\sum_\alpha \frac{(p_\alpha, [u_\alpha, [\xi_x, p_\alpha]])}{m_\alpha^2} + \sum_\alpha \frac{(w_x, [p_\alpha, u_\alpha])}{m_\alpha} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $(u_\alpha, p_\alpha) = \sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha, u_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} = \sum_\alpha u_\alpha = 0$. Consider $x, y \in (\mathbb{M}^3)^n$. We know that

$$(y, \pi x) = \sum_\alpha \Big((y_\alpha, \frac{(p_\alpha, x_\alpha)}{m_\alpha^3} p_\alpha) + (y_\alpha, \frac{[p_\alpha, [\xi_x, p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha^2}) + (y_\alpha, \frac{[w_x, p_\alpha]}{m_\alpha}) \Big)$$

So

$$(y, \pi x) = \sum_\alpha \Big(\frac{(y_\alpha, p_\alpha)(p_\alpha, x_\alpha)}{m_\alpha^3} + (L(\xi_y), \xi_x) + (L(w_y), w_x) \Big) \qquad \text{(I)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}(\pi y, x) &= \sum_\alpha (x_\alpha, \frac{(p_\alpha, y_\alpha)}{m_\alpha^3} p_\alpha) + \sum_\alpha (x_\alpha, \frac{[p_\alpha, [\xi_y, p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha^2}) + \sum_\alpha (x_\alpha, \frac{[w_y, p_\alpha]}{m_\alpha}) \\ &= \sum_\alpha \Big(\frac{(x_\alpha, p_\alpha)(y_\alpha, p_\alpha)}{m_\alpha^3} + (L(\xi_x), \xi_y) + (L(w_x), w_y) \Big) \qquad \text{(II)}\end{aligned}$$

Comparing I and II we obtain $(\pi x, y) = (x, \pi y)$ □

We know that edges of a polygon from moduli space are time-like vectors and since components of tangents are Lorentz orthagonal to them, these components are space-like vectors. Hence we have a Riemannian metric on tangent space $\mathcal{T}(\mathrm{P})$ given by;

$$g(u, v) = -\sum_\alpha \frac{(u_\alpha, v_\alpha)}{m_\alpha}$$

Symmetricity and bilinearity of this form easily obtained from properties of Lorentzian metric. We know that components of each tangent vector $u \in \mathcal{T}(\mathrm{P})$ is space-like. So $(u_\alpha, u_\alpha) < 0 \Rightarrow g(u, u) > 0$. Thus, $g(u, v)$ is positive and so is a Riemannian metric on tangent space at point P.

We can define covariant derivative of a vector field q along a curve $P = P(t)$ in $\mathcal{M}$ by;

$$\nabla_t q = \text{ projection } \Big(\frac{dP}{dt} \in (\mathbb{M}^3)^n \Big) \text{ in tangent space of } \mathcal{M}$$

Therefore if x, y are vector fields then at a point P Lie bracket $[x, y] = \nabla_x y - \nabla_y x \in \mathcal{T}(\mathrm{P})$

Proposition 2.3 *Let x, y be vector fields. At a point $P \in \mathcal{M}$ we have the following*

$$\{(\nabla_x y)^\alpha\} = \{\partial_x y^\alpha - \pi(\partial_x y)_\alpha\} \in \mathcal{T}(P)$$

. Here ∂ is the covariant derivative on $(\mathbb{M}^3)^n$ and $\partial_x y^\alpha$ denotes α component of $\partial_x y$ for $\alpha = 1, \ldots, n$.

Proof: Note that for x, y vector fields we have ;

$$(\nabla_x y)^\alpha = \partial_x y^\alpha - \frac{(p_\alpha, \partial_x y^\alpha) p_\alpha}{m_\alpha^2} - \frac{[p_\alpha, [\xi_{\partial_x y}, p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha} - [w_{\partial_x y}, p_\alpha].$$

We shall show that $\{(\nabla_x y)^\alpha\}$ satisfies *i-iii*.

i) $$\sum_\alpha (\nabla_x y)^\alpha = \sum_\alpha \partial_x y^\alpha - \sum_\alpha \frac{(p_\alpha, \partial_x y^\alpha)p_\alpha}{m_\alpha^2} - \sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha, [\xi_{\partial_x y}, p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha} - \sum_\alpha [w_{\partial_x y}, p_\alpha] =$$
$$-\sum_\alpha \frac{(p_\alpha, \partial_x y^\alpha)p_\alpha}{m_\alpha^2} - \sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha, [\partial_x y^\alpha, p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha^2} = 0.$$

ii) We must show that $((\nabla_x y)^\alpha, p_\alpha) = 0$. Note that
$$(\partial_x y^\alpha - \frac{(\partial_x y^\alpha, p_\alpha)p_\alpha}{m_\alpha^2} - \frac{[p_\alpha, [\xi_{\partial_x y}, p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha} - [w_{\partial_x y}, p_\alpha], p_\alpha) =$$
$$(\partial_x y^\alpha, p_\alpha) - (\partial_x y^\alpha, p_\alpha) = 0.$$

iii) Consider
$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_\alpha \frac{[(\nabla_x y)^\alpha, p_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} &= \sum_\alpha \Big(\frac{[\partial_x y^\alpha, p_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} + [\xi_{\partial_x y}, p_\alpha] - \frac{[[w_{\partial_x y}, p_\alpha], p_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} \Big) \\
&= \sum_\alpha \frac{[\partial_x y^\alpha, p_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} + \sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha, [w_{\partial_x y}, p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha} = 0 \qquad \square
\end{aligned}$$

Let us define
$$\begin{aligned}
\mu : \mathcal{TM} \times \mathcal{TM} &\rightarrow \mathbb{M}^3 \\
(x, y) &\mapsto \mu(x, y)
\end{aligned}$$

Where $\mu(x, y)$ is the vector satisfying
$$\sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha, [\mu(x, y), p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha} = \sum_\alpha \frac{[x_\alpha, [y_\alpha, p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha^2}.$$

Note $\partial_x p_\alpha$ is the α component of $x(P) \in \mathcal{T}(P)$. So $\partial_x p_\alpha = x_\alpha$.

Proposition 2.4 *For x, y vector fields on $\mathcal{M}$, we have*
$$\xi_{\partial_x y} = -\mu(x, y) \text{ and } w_{\partial_x y} = \mu(Iy, x)$$

Proof:
$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha, [\partial_x y^\alpha, p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha^2} &= -\sum_\alpha \frac{(p_\alpha, \partial_x y^\alpha)p_\alpha}{m_\alpha^2} = \sum_\alpha \frac{(x_\alpha, y_\alpha)p_\alpha}{m_\alpha^2} \\
&= -\sum_\alpha \frac{[x_\alpha, [y_\alpha, p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha^2} = -\sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha, [\mu(x, y), p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha} \\
&= \sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha, [-\mu(x, y), p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha}
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\xi_{\partial_x y}$ is the unique vector satisfying
$$\sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha, [\xi_{\partial_x y}, p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha} = \sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha, [\partial_x y^\alpha, p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha^2},$$

we have $\xi_{\partial_x y} = -\mu(x,y)$.
Now consider

$$\sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha,[w_{\partial_x y},p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha} = \sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha,\partial_x y^\alpha]}{m_\alpha}$$

We know that $\sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha,y_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} = 0$. Differentiatingthis in the direction of x we get;

$$-\sum_\alpha \frac{[x_\alpha,y_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} = \sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha,\partial_x y^\alpha]}{m_\alpha}$$

so

$$\sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha,[w_{\partial_x y},p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha} = -\sum_\alpha \frac{[x_\alpha,y_\alpha]}{m_\alpha}$$

We have $[p_\alpha,[x_\alpha,y_\alpha]] = 0 \Rightarrow [x_\alpha,y_\alpha] = \lambda_\alpha p_\alpha$. Hence $(p_\alpha,[x_\alpha,y_\alpha]) = \lambda_\alpha m_\alpha^2$ and $\lambda_\alpha = \dfrac{(p_\alpha,[x_\alpha,y_\alpha])}{m_\alpha^2}$
So we get

$$-\sum_\alpha \frac{[x_\alpha,y_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} = -\sum_\alpha \frac{(p_\alpha,[x_\alpha,y_\alpha])p_\alpha}{m_\alpha^3}$$

Now observe that

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha,[\mu(Iy,x),p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha} &= \sum_\alpha \frac{[Iy_\alpha,[x_\alpha,p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha^2} = \sum_\alpha \frac{[[y_\alpha,p_\alpha],[x_\alpha,p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha^3} = \\ &= -\sum_\alpha \frac{([y_\alpha,p_\alpha],x_\alpha)p_\alpha}{m_\alpha^3} = -\sum_\alpha \frac{(p_\alpha,[x_\alpha,y_\alpha])p_\alpha}{m_\alpha^3} = \\ &= -\sum_\alpha \frac{[x_\alpha,y_\alpha]}{m_\alpha}\end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha,[\mu(Iy,x),p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha} = -\sum_\alpha \frac{[x_\alpha,y_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} = \sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha,\partial_x y^\alpha]}{m_\alpha}$$

but $w_{\partial_x y}$ is the unique vector satisfying

$$\sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha,[w_{\partial_x y},p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha} = \sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha,\partial_x y^\alpha]}{m_\alpha} \Rightarrow w_{\partial_x y} = \mu(Iy,x) \qquad \square$$

Using this proposition we may write;

$$(\nabla_x y)^\alpha = \partial_x y^\alpha - \frac{(\partial_x y^\alpha,p_\alpha)p_\alpha}{m_\alpha^2} + \frac{[p_\alpha,[\mu(x,y),p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha} - [\mu(Iy,x),p_\alpha]$$

The map $\mu(x,y)$ restricted on $\mathcal{T}(\mathrm{P})$ where $\mathrm{P} \in \mathcal{M}$ has a lot of useful properties. The following lemma is devoted to write these properties explicitely.

Lemma 2.5 *For the map $\mu(x,y)$ restricted on $\mathcal{T}(P)$ we have the following;*

i) $\mu(x,y)$ *is the unique vector satisfying*

$$\sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha,[\mu(x,y),p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha} = \sum_\alpha \frac{[x_\alpha,[y_\alpha,p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha^2}$$

ii) $\mu(x,y) = \mu(y,x)$

iii) μ *is skew-adjoint, i.e,* $\mu(Ix,y) = -\mu(x,Iy)$

iv) $\mu(Ix,Iy) = \mu(x,y)$

Where x, y are vector fields in $\mathcal{M}$.

Proof:*i)* Since $\mu(x,y) = -\xi_{\partial_x y}$ and $\xi_{\partial_x y}$ is unique we are done.
ii) Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha,[\mu(y,x),p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha} &= \sum_\alpha \frac{[y_\alpha,[x_\alpha,y_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha^2} = -\sum_\alpha \frac{(y_\alpha,x_\alpha)p_\alpha}{m_\alpha^2} \\ \sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha,[\mu(x,y),p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha} &= \sum_\alpha \frac{[x_\alpha,[y_\alpha,p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha^2} = -\sum_\alpha \frac{(x_\alpha,p_\alpha)p_\alpha}{m_\alpha^2}. \end{aligned}$$

By uniqueness of $\mu(x,y)$ we have $\mu(x,y) = \mu(y,x)$
iii) Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha,[\mu(Ix,y),p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha} &= \sum_\alpha \frac{[Ix_\alpha,[y_\alpha,p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha^2} = -\sum_\alpha \frac{([x_\alpha,p_\alpha],y_\alpha)p_\alpha}{m_\alpha^3} \\ \sum_\alpha \frac{[p_\alpha,[-\mu(x,Iy),p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha} &= -\sum_\alpha \frac{[x_\alpha,[y_\alpha,p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha^3} = -\sum_\alpha \frac{([x_\alpha,p_\alpha],y_\alpha)p_\alpha}{m_\alpha^3}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence by uniqueness of $\mu(Ix,y)$ we have $\mu(Ix,y) = -\mu(x,Iy)$
iv) Easily follows from *iii)*. $\square$
It is convienient to make an observation right now. Note that

$$\frac{[Iy_\alpha,x_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} = \frac{(x_\alpha,y_\alpha)p_\alpha}{m_\alpha^2} = -\frac{(\partial_x y^\alpha,p_\alpha)p_\alpha}{m_\alpha^2}.$$

So we will get;

$$(\nabla_x y)^\alpha = \partial_x y^\alpha + \frac{[Iy_\alpha,x_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} - I[\mu(x,y),p_\alpha] - [\mu(Iy,x),p_\alpha].$$

We have arrived the main result of this chapter. The following theorem is important in the sense that it reveals the geometrical structure of the variety of spatial polygons in $\mathbb{M}^3$.

Theorem 2.6 *Almost complex structure I on $\mathcal{T}(P)$ is integrable.*

Proof: By theorem of Newlander-Nierenberg,[5], it is enough to check Nijenhaus tensor $N_I(x,y)=0$ for all vector fields x,y in $\mathcal{M}$. Nijenhaus tensor is defined by ;

$$N_I = \frac{1}{2}([Ix,Iy]-[x,y]-I[Ix,y]-I[x,Iy]).$$

As a convention we write $[x,y]_\alpha = (\nabla_x y)^\alpha - (\nabla_y x)^\alpha$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla_{Ix}Iy)^\alpha = &\quad \partial_{Ix}Iy^\alpha + \frac{[IIy_\alpha, Ix_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} - I[\mu(Iy,Ix),p_\alpha] - [\mu(IIy,Ix),p_\alpha] \\
= &\quad \partial_{Ix}Iy^\alpha + \frac{[Ix_\alpha, y_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} - I[\mu(y,x),p_\alpha] + [\mu(y,Ix),p_\alpha] \\
(\nabla_{Iy}Ix)^\alpha = &\quad \partial_{Iy}Ix^\alpha + \frac{[IIx_\alpha, Iy_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} - I[\mu(Ix,Iy),p_\alpha] - [\mu(IIx,Iy),p_\alpha] \\
= &\quad \partial_{Iy}Ix^\alpha + \frac{[Iy_\alpha, x_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} - I[\mu(x,y),p_\alpha] + [\mu(x,Iy),p_\alpha]
\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\frac{[Iy_\alpha, x_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} = \frac{[[y_\alpha,p_\alpha],x_\alpha]}{m_\alpha^2} = +\frac{(x_\alpha,y_\alpha)p_\alpha}{m_\alpha^2}$$

and

$$\frac{[Ix_\alpha, y_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} = \frac{[[x_\alpha,p_\alpha],y_\alpha]}{m_\alpha^2} = +\frac{(y_\alpha,x_\alpha)p_\alpha}{m_\alpha^2}$$

Comparing these two equations we see that

$$\frac{[Iy_\alpha, x_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} = \frac{[Ix_\alpha, y_\alpha]}{m_\alpha}$$

So we get;

$$[Ix,Iy]_\alpha = \partial_{Ix}Iy^\alpha - \partial_{Iy}Ix^\alpha + 2[\mu(y,Ix),p_\alpha]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla_x y)^\alpha = &\quad \partial_x y^\alpha + \frac{[Iy_\alpha, x_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} - I[\mu(y,x),p_\alpha] - [\mu(Iy,x),p_\alpha] \\
(\nabla_y x)^\alpha = &\quad \partial_y x^\alpha + \frac{[Ix_\alpha, y_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} - I[\mu(x,y),p_\alpha] - [\mu(Ix,y),p_\alpha]
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore;

$$[x,y]_\alpha = \partial_x y^\alpha - \partial_y x^\alpha - 2[\mu(Iy,x),p_\alpha]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla_{Ix}y)^\alpha = &\quad \partial_{Ix}y^\alpha + \frac{[Iy_\alpha, Ix_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} - I[\mu(y,Ix),p_\alpha] - [\mu(Iy,Ix),p_\alpha] = \\
= &\quad \partial_{Ix}y^\alpha + \frac{[Iy_\alpha, Ix_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} - I[\mu(y,Ix),p_\alpha] - [\mu(y,x),p_\alpha] \\
(\nabla_y Ix)^\alpha = &\quad \partial_y Ix^\alpha + \frac{[IIx_\alpha, y_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} - I[\mu(Ix,y),p_\alpha] - [\mu(IIx,y),p_\alpha] = \\
= &\quad \partial_y Ix^\alpha - \frac{[x_\alpha, y_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} - I[\mu(Ix,y),p_\alpha] + [\mu(x,y),p_\alpha]
\end{aligned}$$

Since $[Ix_\alpha, y_\alpha] = [Iy_\alpha, x_\alpha]$ we have;

$$[Iy_\alpha, Ix_\alpha] = [IIx_\alpha, y_\alpha] = -[x_\alpha, y_\alpha]$$

so

$$[Ix, y]_\alpha = \partial_{Ix} y^\alpha - \partial_y Ix^\alpha - 2[\mu(x,y), p_\alpha]$$

$$\begin{aligned}
(\nabla_x Iy)^\alpha = & \quad \partial_x Iy^\alpha + \frac{[IIy_\alpha, x_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} - I[\mu(Iy,x), p_\alpha] - [\mu(IIy,x), p_\alpha] \\
= & \quad \partial_x Iy^\alpha - \frac{[y_\alpha, x_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} - I[\mu(Iy,x), p_\alpha] + [\mu(y,x), p_\alpha] \\
(\nabla_{Iy} x)^\alpha = & \quad \partial_{Iy} x^\alpha + \frac{[Ix_\alpha, Iy_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} - I[\mu(x,Iy), p_\alpha] - [\mu(Ix,Iy), p_\alpha] \\
= & \quad \partial_{Iy} x^\alpha - \frac{[y_\alpha, x_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} - I[\mu(x,Iy), p_\alpha] - [\mu(x,y), p_\alpha]
\end{aligned}$$

so

$$[x, Iy]_\alpha = \partial_x Iy^\alpha - \partial_{Iy} x^\alpha + 2[\mu(x,y), p_\alpha]$$

With these tools we can calculate the Nijenhaus tensor $N_I(x,y)$. If we plug what we have found above we will obtain;

$$N_I(x,y) = \partial_{Ix} Iy^\alpha - \partial_{Iy} Ix^\alpha - \partial_x y^\alpha + \partial_y x^\alpha - I\partial_{Ix} y^\alpha + I\partial_y Ix^\alpha - I\partial_x Iy^\alpha + I\partial_{Iy} x^\alpha$$

Claim: $N_I(x,y) = 0$.
In order to show the claim we need to do some calculations. Observe that;

$$[Iy_\alpha, p_\alpha] = \frac{[[y_\alpha, p_\alpha], p_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} = -\frac{[p_\alpha, [y_\alpha, p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha} = -m_\alpha y_\alpha$$

Differentiating above with respect to vector field x we get;

$$[\partial_x Iy^\alpha, p_\alpha] + [Iy_\alpha, x_\alpha] = -m_\alpha \partial_x y^\alpha$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow & \quad \frac{[\partial_x Iy^\alpha, p_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} + \frac{[Iy_\alpha, x_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} = -\partial_x y^\alpha \\
\Rightarrow & \quad I\partial_x Iy^\alpha = -\partial_x y^\alpha - \frac{[Iy_\alpha, x_\alpha]}{m_\alpha}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the same argument we have;

$$I\partial_y Ix^\alpha = -\partial_y x^\alpha - \frac{[Ix_\alpha, y_\alpha]}{m_\alpha}.$$

since

$$[Iy^\alpha, p_\alpha] = -\frac{[p_\alpha, [y_\alpha, p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha} = -m_\alpha y_\alpha$$

now differentiating this with respect to the vector field Ix we get

$$[\partial_{Ix} Iy^\alpha, p_\alpha] + [Iy_\alpha, Ix_\alpha] = -m_\alpha \partial_{Ix} y^\alpha$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{[\partial_{Ix} Iy^\alpha, p_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} + \frac{[Iy_\alpha, Ix_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} = -\partial_{Ix} y^\alpha$$

apply I both sides

$$\begin{aligned}
\Rightarrow \quad & \frac{[[\partial_{Ix} Iy^\alpha, p_\alpha], p_\alpha]}{m_\alpha^2} = -I\partial_{Ix} y^\alpha \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \frac{[p_\alpha, [\partial_{Ix} Iy^\alpha, p_\alpha]]}{m_\alpha^2} = I\partial_{Ix} y^\alpha \\
\Rightarrow \quad & \partial_{Ix} Iy^\alpha - \frac{(p_\alpha, \partial_{Ix} Iy^\alpha) p_\alpha}{m_\alpha^2} = I\partial_{Ix} y^\alpha.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $(p_\alpha, Iy^\alpha) = 0$ we obtain $(p_\alpha, \partial_{Ix} Iy^\alpha) = -(Ix_\alpha, Iy_\alpha)$. Hence

$$\partial_{Ix} Iy^\alpha + \frac{(Ix_\alpha, Iy_\alpha) p_\alpha}{m_\alpha^2} = I\partial_{Ix} y^\alpha$$

Similarly,

$$\partial_{Iy} Ix^\alpha + \frac{(Iy_\alpha, Ix_\alpha) p_\alpha}{m_\alpha^2} = I\partial_{Iy} x^\alpha$$

Substituting corresponding expressions in $N_I(x, y)$ we get;

$$N_I(x, y) = I\partial_{Ix} y^\alpha - \frac{(Ix_\alpha, Iy_\alpha) p_\alpha}{m_\alpha^2} - I\partial_{Iy} x^\alpha + \frac{(Iy_\alpha, Ix_\alpha) p_\alpha}{m_\alpha^2} - \partial_x y^\alpha + \partial_y x^\alpha -$$

$$I\partial_{Ix} y^\alpha - \partial_y x^\alpha - \frac{[Ix_\alpha, y_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} + \partial_x y^\alpha + \frac{[Iy_\alpha, x_\alpha]}{m_\alpha} + I\partial_{Iy} x^\alpha = 0 \qquad \square$$

Definition 2.7 *A Kähler manifold is a symplectic manifold with an integrable almost complex structure.*

Corollary 2.8 *$\mathcal{M}$ is a Kähler manifold with Kähler structure*

$$\Omega(u, v) = g(u, v) + i\omega(u, v). \quad \square$$

Anknowledgemts I want to thank A. Klyachko for his patient and valuable ideas and I want to thank Pomona College for their hospitality.

References

[1] J.C.Hausmann, A.Knutson, *The Cohomology Ring of Polygon Spaces*, Annales de l'Institut Fourier, 1998

[2] B.Iversen, *Hyperbolic Geometry*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts **25**, 1992

[3] M.Kapovich, J.Millson, *The Symplectic Geometry of Spatial Polygons in Euclidean Space*, J.Diff. Geom. **44**(1996) p 476-513

[4] A.A.Klyachko, *Spatial Polygons and Stable Configurations of Points in the Projective Line*, in: Algebraic Geometry and its Applications(Yaroslavl 1992), Aspects Math. Vieweg, Brauschweig, 1994, p.p 67-84

[5] A.Newlander, L.Nierenberg, *Complex analytic co-ordinates in almost complex manifolds*, Ann. Math. **65** (1957), 391-404

[6] J.G.Rathcliffe, *Foundations of Hyperbolic Manifolds*, Springer GTM, 1994

Vehbi E. Paksoy CMC Mathematics Dept. Claremont, CA 91711, USA
emrah.paksoy@cmc.edu